## Exhibit 21

## Case 1:18-cv-09401-DAB Document 16-1 Filed 11/20/18 Page 2 of 25 1

	IBr Jbello	
1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
3	BENTHOS MASTER FUND, LTD.,	
4	Plaintiff,	New York, N.Y.
5	V.	18 Civ. 9401 (DAB)
6 7	AARON ETRA, and JANE DOE, a/k/a "TRACY EVANS,"	
8	Defendants.	
9	x	
10		November 15, 2018 3:05 p.m.
11 12	Before:	
13	HON. DEBORAH Z	
14		District Judge
15		
16	APPEARANCES	
17	KLEINBERG, KAPLAN, WOLFF & COHEN, P.C.	
18	Attorneys for Plaintiff BY: JOSHUA K. BROMBERG	
19	BY: STEVEN R. POPOFSKY	
20	AARON ETRA, Pro Se	
21	TRACY EVANS, Pro Se	
22		
23		
24		
25		

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Case called)

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Counsel, please state your name for the record, starting with plaintiff's counsel.

MR. BROMBERG: Joshua K. Bromberg of Kleinberg, Kaplan, Wolff & Cohen.

MR. POPOFSKY: Steven Popofsky; same firm, your Honor.

THE COURT: Good afternoon, gentlemen.

And I see that the defendants are sitting here without attorneys. Why is that?

MR. ETRA: Your Honor, my counsel was planning to My counsel was planning to come to the hearing but as of yesterday he was completely laid low and has been unable to even communicate except via e-mail and asks your Honor for an adjournment for several days to be able to --

THE COURT: No. No. This is unbelievable.

You may or may not be aware of the fact that the Court -- this Court -- on October 19th, set an order out. defendants seek an adjournment from October 25th in order to obtain counsel. Plaintiffs wisely opposed. I extended the TRO until today at 2:30. Defendant shall obtain counsel, prepare to proceed on November 15th, 2018, or the case shall proceed with defendants pro se. Defendants shall submit all information ordered in the TRO on or before Friday, October 26, 2018 at 5:00 p.m. The temporary restraining order restraining defendants from removing or transferring any additional funds

Order To Show Cause

remains in full force, in full effect, until November 15th, 2018 at 2:30.

Did you turn over the materials that were requested initially by Judge Castel and then by me?

MR. ETRA: Your Honor --

THE COURT: That's a yes or a no.

MR. ETRA: I gave counsel all the material involved, yes.

THE COURT: To the plaintiffs?

MR. ETRA: To my counsel.

MR. BROMBERG: Nothing was provided to us, your Honor.

And may I be heard briefly on Mr. Etra's representation that he has retained counsel? Because the person that he seems to be holding out as his counsel, your Honor is a Michael Hess. I spoke to Mr. Hess yesterday. He called me at approximately 4:00 p.m. and he stated to me that he is a friend of Mr. Etra's, that he has known him for a long time. I had spoken to Mr. Hess previously and he told me the same thing, emphatically, that he does not represent Mr. Etra, he is only a friend of Mr. Etra's, he is trying to reach out on behalf of Mr. Etra to play peacemaker. He said he will not make an appearance for Mr. Etra, he does not represent Mr. Etra.

MR. POPOFSKY: Your Honor, can I add one thing to that?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: You can add as much as you want.

MR. POPOFSKY: Mr. Etra just stated in court to your Honor that his purported counsel was laid low yesterday and unable to communicate except by e-mail. Your Honor should pay careful attention to that because at 4:15 p.m. yesterday, Mr. Hess was on the phone with Mr. Bromberg, my co-counsel, and number one, he was communicating perfectly fine; and number two, he didn't say a word to Mr. Bromberg about being ill.

So assuming that Mr. Hess, a lawyer is an honest person, the only conclusion is that Mr. Etra has made a misrepresentation which would not be the first one, your Honor.

MR. ETRA: Your Honor, may I comment on that?

All of the facts involved are clear and not the conclusion, however. Mr. Hess is representing me.

THE COURT: Wait. If he is representing you, has he filed a notice of appearance? Yes or no?

MR. ETRA: I don't know. I quess that's a question to him.

MR. POPOFSKY: We have received no notice of appearance, nor opposition papers.

THE COURT: All right.

Ms. Evans, why do you not have an attorney here?

MS. EVANS: I just thought that I would do a letter.

Do a letter? This is an emergency THE COURT: It is not just even a regular lawsuit. proceeding.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: Oh.

> THE COURT: You thought you would do a letter? And when were you going to do a letter?

> > MS. EVANS: No, a motion letter.

I called the pro se office and they said that was the format, and I did one and I filed it today and I brought a copy to the Court because it was that --

> THE COURT: Has opposing counsel seen it?

MS. EVANS: What?

THE COURT: Has opposing counsel seen it?

MS. EVANS: Yes, they have.

MR. BROMBERG: It was shown to us briefly about 15 minutes ago, your Honor, and it contains largely the same excuses that the petitioner has been hearing from Ms. Evans for the last three months.

THE COURT: First of all, it seems to me that the defendants have given the Court no reason -- no reason -- to expect that they're going to obey Court orders. Everything that has been ordered by either Judge Castel or by me you have ignored.

So, let me just make this very quick. It is clear that based on the facts set forth --

MR. ETRA: Your Honor?

MS. EVANS: Your Honor?

MR. ETRA: Could I possibly make a statement of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

explanation?

THE COURT: No.

Do you not understand the dates that I told you by which to do things? I have heard nothing from you. I have seen nothing from you. You show up today and you are ignoring the orders of two Judges? I don't understand why you think you now have an opportunity for the first time to say something.

MR. ETRA: Your Honor, may I make an explanation of that?

THE COURT: You have got one opportunity.

MR. ETRA: The period that we are talking about, Ms. Evans and I have been in direct contact with Benthos We have been working for the objective, the business Capital. objective of this transaction which they continue to support and wish, which is that the consummation of the underlying transaction --

THE COURT: No, I think they actually want their money back because you haven't quite made it out to them, and to the Court, that you are proceeding in good faith.

MR. ETRA: Your Honor, I think we would be able to prove to the contrary because, as recently as last week, their client communicated to the party with whom they made the agreement, the contract. The contract was not with either Ms. Evans or myself, but with the Valhalla Group that they do want the consummation of the transaction and they do want us to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

continue to do our work, and that's what we have been diligently doing and focusing our energy on that.

> MR. BROMBERG: Your Honor, may I be heard? THE COURT: Of course.

MR. BROMBERG: Mr. Etra received, on October 12th, a termination of escrow stating, in explicit terms, that the transaction was not consummated and that Benthos expected to receive its money back and it is contractually entitled to receive its money back.

MR. ETRA: Your Honor --

MR. BROMBERG: And, previous to that, your Honor, Benthos asked for information as to where the \$4.6 million that was sent out of Mr. Etra's escrow account, had been sent. received no response to the August 31st inquiry. We received no response to a second inquiry made at the end of September. For the first thee months Mr. Etra has refused to tell our clients where its five million dollars are. Mr. Etra set a New York attorney, he is an escrow agent, he has fiduciary and ethical obligations. It quite frankly shocks the conscience, your Honor, that he has gotten away with it for this long.

MR. ETRA: Your Honor, I think the facts will prove that Ms. Evans and I have been in direct contact with his client subsequent to that date on a continuing basis. There are facts involved that need to come out and if their client in fact wants to proceed to arbitration, it will come out in

Order To Show Cause

1 arbitration. But, the misstatements of fact that have just 2 been made are easily --3 THE COURT: Well, let me see if I can make this clear. 4 Based on the performance of both Mr. Etra and 5 Ms. Evans, it seems to me that you are in contempt of Court. 6 would expect that the plaintiffs would make a motion for the 7 Court to find them in contempt of Court, at which point you will be subject to being incarcerated, at which point you will 8 9 get out when you use the keys to the jail by doing exactly what 10 it is that two Courts have ordered you to do. 11 I don't want to hear anything else. Flagrant ignoring 12 of Court orders are inappropriate, unacceptable, and will be 13 dealt with accordingly. 14 MS. EVANS: Your Honor, if I could speak? 15 I did answer those questions that they asked in the Order to Show Cause. I have them. 16 17 THE COURT: You have them? You gave it to them? 18 MS. EVANS: They're right here. 19 THE COURT: No. No. 20 They're on paper. MS. EVANS: 21 THE COURT: Answer my question. Did you give it to 22 them? 23 I brought them with me today. MS. EVANS: 24 THE COURT: No. No. 25 When were you supposed to do this? I believe it was

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

by October 26th.

I never -- your Honor, I don't know how MS. EVANS: they served me but I never got anything by e-mail or anything else. I don't have Pesos -- PACER, or whatever it is. I am pro se, I called the Court. I didn't find there was any order other than the original one to answer the questions 2 through 4 and I did answer those.

MR. BROMBERG: Your Honor, every filing that we have made has been served upon Ms. Evans and we filed affidavits of service to evidence that.

MR. POPOFSKY: And she sent our clients -- yesterday she sent our clients an e-mail. She has been e-mailing our clients for three months, as has Mr. Etra. When she wants to use e-mail, she knows how to use e-mail. When your Honor issues orders, we have been serving them on her by the same e-mail that she uses.

MS. EVANS: I didn't say e-mail, I said PACER or however you find out that order.

But I have the questions that we were asked to answer for today with me, all signed.

MR. ETRA: And, your Honor --

MS. EVANS: And these are the same for both of us.

MR. ETRA: Their client has been regularly in contact We have correspondence with them. I deeply regret if your Honor thinks that we have been looking to disobey and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

dishonor the Court.

THE COURT: I think that's the only thing I can conclude based on what has happened since the day Judge Castel signed the first order granting a TRO.

MR. ETRA: A lot has happened, and perhaps we are unfortunate in concentrating on dealing directly with their client and directly with what the client in fact wants to accomplish.

THE COURT: Let me ask Mr. Bromberg and Mr. Popofsky are, indeed, your clients continuing to have communication with the defendants?

MR. BROMBERG: No, your Honor. And we have instructed them not to.

MR. POPOFSKY: Except to the extent that the respondents continue e-mailing our clients on a regular basis giving them the same false assurances that they have been giving them for three months that, The transaction is imminently complete. In a couple of days it will be done, in a couple of days you will have everything. Just, tell your lawyers to calm down. Everything will be fine.

These have been repeated over and over and over for three months. Again, on August 31st, a formal letter was written by counsel, to Mr. Etra, saying tell us where the money is, where you have sent it, all communications that you have dealt with concerning our client's escrow money. This was by

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

our predecessor counsel. He ignored that letter. 30 days later we sent another letter -- we sent -- asking the same things, it is all in the papers your Honor has. Very specific Where is the \$5 million that you, a lawyer and questions: fiduciary and escrow agent, were entrusted with? Where is it now? Where did you send it? Who has it? What do you know about this transaction?

He ignored that letter as well. Then we went to The Court orders were issued, as your Honor is aware. Court. He is ignored those as well. Ms. Evans has now handed us a piece of paper that seems to make some attempt, perhaps, to answer the questions directed at her in the Order to Show Cause. Mr. Etra has given nothing. He is an escrow agent, he has given nothing at any time since August 31st. He is a New York lawyer with a law license. It is incredible and beyond belief.

MR. ETRA: Your Honor, that is totally incorrect. We have correspondence to his client and from his client --

THE COURT: He is represented. Do you not understand that? Why are you dealing directly with the client when you know he is being represented against you.

MR. ETRA: Because his client has been wanting us to do what we have --

What proof do you have that his client THE COURT: wants you to do this?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ETRA: Response from his client, we have correspondence which we are happy to submit.

THE COURT: Let me see it.

MR. ETRA: Okay. I would suggest you show it to plaintiff's counsel first.

MS. EVANS: And also, plaintiff's counsel, I can show you something that might be important. I don't know how to -that's why I bought --

THE COURT: Use the microphone.

MR. POPOFSKY: Let me also say, your Honor, which I think is obvious but is worth saying, this is not the lawsuit or the arbitration against Mr. Etra, Ms. Evans, and others, for breach of contract and fraud. This is just the petition in aid of arbitration. All this petition seeks is information from an escrow agent. It doesn't seek to hold him liable for fraud. That's next, but that's not this proceeding.

MS. EVANS: Okay.

MR. ETRA: Your Honor, here is a correspondence from me to his client and the confirmation from his client. I confirmed the two transfers have been made to date from the --

THE COURT: Just a second. What are you reading? Hand it over to plaintiff's counsel.

> Yes, ma'am. Yes, your Honor. MR. ETRA:

THE COURT: Let them look at it and then I will hear from them as well.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BROMBERG: I have seen this before, your Honor. This e-mail is dated August 17th, 2018.

THE COURT: That's a little late, don't you think? Since the first court order was in mid-October.

MR. BROMBERG: I will just note, your Honor, this is prior to the first request that our client made to Mr. Etra for information as to where the money had been sent.

MR. POPOFSKY: This is when the clients were working with Mr. Etra believing that Mr. Etra was an honest person and that he was effectuating their transaction. Yes, they were communicating. Of course.

MR. ETRA: I have subsequent correspondence right up through the middle of October, your Honor.

THE COURT: You have subsequent correspondence where they sent to you?

Which was sent to their client and response MR. ETRA: from their client.

THE COURT: Would you show that to plaintiff's counsel?

MR. BROMBERG: This is also dated August 25th, 2018, your Honor.

MR. ETRA: Part of a continuing series.

Your Honor, if it pleases the Court, and again in respect of the arbitration, we would be happy to provide all this data which the client has their own copies of. So, we

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

truly have not --

THE COURT: They don't have copies. Their client does not have copies of what you did with the money. That's what they want.

MR. ETRA: And their client does and --

THE COURT: Their client does?

MR. ETRA: Yes.

THE COURT: In other words you are representing to this Court, as an officer of the court, that their client, the plaintiff, knows where the money is?

MR. ETRA: Yes, your Honor.

MR. POPOFSKY: Where is it?

THE COURT: Well, let's give you an opportunity to tell the Court. Where is the money?

> MR. ETRA: Yes.

The money, \$400,000 remains in my escrow account. \$4,600,000 has been transferred to the transfer storage house to facilitate the transaction in two transfers --

MR. BROMBERG: Your Honor, we have --

THE COURT: Finish up please, Mr. Etra.

MR. ETRA: Yes.

Of the \$5 million transferred, a total of \$4,600,000 has been sent to the transfer storage house that was wired for release of the Bitcoins. \$400,000 remains in my escrow That information has been known to their client.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: So, what's the problem? Why haven't they gotten the Bitcoin?

The Bitcoins have needed to be -- a certain MR. ETRA: amount of money need to be accumulated to release the Bitcoins. Their client was unable to provide the full amount.

THE COURT: What was the original contract for? Did it mention additional monies?

MR. ETRA: It mentioned what was needed to release. The process of the Bitcoin release of course changes with the changing in values. Their client has recognized that the \$5 million that they paid originally was insufficient to release the full 1,000 Bitcoins which they know was the They said that we are able to come up with the full minimum. amount themselves. They said that they couldn't do any more than the \$5 million and so we were left to try to find others to fill the difference in funds necessary to release the full 1,000.

THE COURT: What paper trail do you have that proves any of this?

MR. ETRA: A full paper trail, your Honor.

MS. EVANS: Full.

MR. POPOFSKY: Your Honor, we have some misdirection here, as usual.

There is truth to what he says in that there was a contract signed and then the people who signed the contract

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

began trying to renegotiate the contract, and they told our clients here are 15 excuses where it is not happening and we need more money. Our clients, who were trying their best to have the transaction happen so we wouldn't end up in this situation today where they have spent tens of thousands of dollars on lawyers and have no money and no Bitcoin and it is almost Thanksgiving, our clients kept saying tell us what we need to do, tell us what's happening. They trusted Mr. Etra, they tried to work with him, but they were at least smart enough not to pay more than the \$5 million that was already out the door.

So, there is some truth to that but it's all irrelevant. This is a petition in aid of arbitration. order to show cause lists a whole series of pieces of information and documents that have been asked for. He has not provided those. He just needs to be, I believe, respectfully, ordered again today to provide, by tomorrow, in writing, every single item of information requested in the Order to Show Cause and every single document requested in the Order to Show Cause because those documents will reflect who he dealt with, who this mysterious transfer storage agent is, what is its address, what is its location, what is its bank account number.

Once we have all of that information, that's the purpose of a petition in aid of arbitration. Then we will take that and begin the appropriate arbitration.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I don't think he appreciates -- giving Mr. Etra the benefit of the doubt, he is a sap who was used by some fraudsters. Let's assume he is not a fraudster himself for the sake of discussion. If that's the case, then he simply ought to provide every document, every piece of information within his knowledge or control, and then that will show that he is just an innocent middleman. But, he has been ordered to do that already and I'm not sure he understands when your Honor says we should make a motion to hold him in contempt, what he hears is, oh, there will be a motion made next week, and then the Judge will hear it in December and then we will deal with it by then.

So, I believe, given the circumstances here and the unrepresented clients, that your Honor should make a clear and unequivocal order we will move for contempt but you should please make a clear order to them to take the order to show cause and provide written responses to each and every item and to produce all documents requested within 24 hours, and that if they do not do that they will be subject to contempt of court.

I believe Mr. Etra will understand that if he is told And, if not, the consequences will follow.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. EVANS: Your Honor, may I speak? May I say something?

> THE COURT: Go ahead.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: Okay. Thank you, your Honor.

When they're talking about the contract, it's not a contract that we have with Benthos, it is with another company that has it with Benthos. And I have a copy of the contract that they have with that client.

MR. ETRA: Who is not present in this hearing.

MS. EVANS: We were trying to -- it is true, everything Mr. Etra says is true. It is 1,000 Bitcoins was the minimum amount that was needed. Now, because they didn't have it the client was trying to do, find another client that would fill up the void and then those two together would be able to get the entire amount of Bitcoins that they paid for, the whole thing would have been over. But, as early as yesterday, although it seems like unfortunately it was the day before the Court date, they did give us a signed contract last night that, for the other 250 that would make up the entire amount, so therefore they could go forward and everybody would get their Bitcoins and this would be over.

This happened last night, they're not aware of it, but we didn't have a signed contract until last night.

MR. BROMBERG: May I be heard, your Honor?

MR. ETRA: Your Honor --

THE COURT: Mr. Etra, please. I am listen to Mr. Bromberg.

MR. BROMBERG: All of this is ultimately irrelevant,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Order To Show Cause

your Honor, because the contract has long since been terminated.

MS. EVANS: You have not seen this one.

MR. BROMBERG: The contract between Benthos and Valkyrie has long since been terminated. The escrow with Mr. Etra has long since been terminated. Mr. Etra is under an obligation to return whatever amount of the \$5 million he still holds and, for whatever reason, he has not done so.

MR. POPOFSKY: He admits he has \$400,000. He ought to return that today, your Honor, and then provide the information as to the rest of it tomorrow.

MR. ETRA: Your Honor, as Ms. Evans indicated, the two of us are truly the innocent people in this arrangement.

THE COURT: Well, I'm not making findings on guilt and innocence. So far this isn't a criminal case.

> MR. ETRA: Yes.

THE COURT: All right? So far.

MR. ETRA: Okay.

THE COURT: Listen to me.

MR. ETRA: Please.

THE COURT: Listen to me.

You are an officer of the court. You have ignored Court orders. You have not done anything that the Courts have ordered you to do. Accordingly, I am going to ask Mr. Bromberg and Mr. Popofsky, how much time do you need to file a motion to

Order To Show Cause

hold both Mr. Etra and Ms. Evans in civil contempt? 1 2 Three or four days, your Honor. MR. BROMBERG: 3 THE COURT: Three or four days. All right. 4 Please make that motion. Make sure they get copies of 5 it. 6 MR. POPOFSKY: Of course. 7 MR. BROMBERG: They will, your Honor. THE COURT: Then, five days after that the defendants 8 9 must respond, no extensions. The Court will then take it under 10 advisement and make a ruling. 11 Now, based on what I have seen so far it looks very 12 likely that a motion to hold you in civil contempt will be 13 granted. So, it seems to me it's in your interest -- as I said 14 earlier, you hold the keys. You don't want to go to jail, you 15 tell them everything that you were supposed to tell them weeks ago. If you don't do that, they make the motion, you have an 16 17 opportunity to respond and there will be no extensions; if you 18 don't respond, their motion will be unopposed, I will grant it, 19 and you will be going to jail. 20 Do you understand this? 21 MR. ETRA: Yes, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: All right. 23 MS. EVANS: Yes, your Honor. 24 THE COURT: No.

MS. EVANS: I would like to ask if that was -- if what

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I gave them is adequate because I gave them everything they asked for.

THE COURT: You talk to them afterwards because in that case maybe he won't --

MS. EVANS: Can we speak then after court? Are we allowed to.

THE COURT: Are you willing to talk to them after court?

MR. BROMBERG: We will certainly speak to them, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. POPOFSKY: We will speak to them.

Your Honor, why would Mr. Etra not return the \$400,000? Could Mr. Etra either return the \$400,000 -- he has our wire transfer information which we have given him -- can he return it to us or deposit into court tomorrow? Is there any reason for him not do that? He admits he is holding it.

MR. ETRA: Your Honor, the answer to that question, again if counsel would consult with their client, the \$400,000 is in the interest of their client because if it is put into court or returned to them, it can't be utilized to give them Bitcoins to which they are looking forward to receiving.

THE COURT: I don't know that they're looking forward.

MR. POPOFSKY: We spoke to our clients last night, your Honor. After Ms. Evans emailed them and gave them the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Order To Show Cause

same run around she has given them for three months and it was clear between ourselves and our clients that, once again, we don't believe them. We just want the money back. The contract was terminated a month ago and Mr. Hess admits he is holding \$400,000 of our client's money. We want it back. MR. ETRA: Mr. Hess is not holding the money. MR. POPOFSKY: Why will he not give it back? MS. EVANS: Mr. Hess? MR. POPOFSKY: Mr. Etra. I apologize. Why will he not give it back? THE COURT: It seems to me that what I have directed

will perhaps move this along but I am telling you, do not make any plans for the holiday because if you do not comply with the order signed by Judge Castel on October 17th, and by me on October 19th, the motion will be made for civil contempt, I gave you an opportunity, five days to respond, no extensions. If you don't, it will be unopposed, it will be granted, and you will be going to jail.

Now --

MR. ETRA: Your Honor?

MS. EVANS: Your Honor?

THE COURT: -- I am ordering this record on a daily The plaintiffs are to see our court reporter, Ms. Pam Utter, to make payment arrangements. I expect to be getting the motion for civil contempt. I expect to get a response by

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the defendants and if you don't, there will be no extensions so you get it within the five days that I gave you or you are waiving a response.

If, on the other hand, Mr. Etra, you feel that you can give the money back, that would be a big help and perhaps get you out of civil contempt but there are other bits of information that you must supply as well.

MR. ETRA: Yes, your Honor, and I think we will show that Ms. Evans has supplied much the same.

Question, your Honor. If there is information that is privileged or private --

THE COURT: How can it be privileged or private? You owe these people the answer as to where their money was sent.

MR. ETRA: Yes, your Honor. The point is, as you will appreciate, again, Ms. Evans and I are not the parties of interest in this. We are here to --

THE COURT: You are holding the escrow. That's what they want.

MR. ETRA: Yes.

THE COURT: So you are a party, not necessarily to the underlying contract, but certainly to what is before me --

> MR. ETRA: Yes.

THE COURT: -- which is get this information to them in aid of arbitration.

> MR. ETRA: Yes.

IBF5ben0 Order To Show Cause

THE COURT: All right? 1 2 MS. EVANS: In aid of arbitration. 3 MR. POPOFSKY: Your Honor, can I add one thing? I apologize for harping on this but there is no 4 5 outstanding order on the \$400,000 other than restraining it and I cannot see any legal reason why Mr. Etra should be holding 6 7 the money any longer. We should be getting it back. 8 THE COURT: All right. 9 Direct order --10 MR. ETRA: Your Honor --THE COURT: Listen to me: Return the \$400,000 to the 11 12 plaintiff immediately. 13 MR. POPOFSKY: Thank you, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: All right. This matter is adjourned. 15 Please, see Ms. Utter. Please, take advantage of the hospitality of my courtroom and talk to each other but I am 16 17 warning you, Ms. Evans, Mr. Etra, pack your toothbrush. 18 This matter is adjourned. 19 000 20 21 22 23 24 25